

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,393	06/19/2001	Richard Holscher	MI22-1694	4911
21567	7590 08/13/2003			
	ELLS ST. JOHN P.S. EXAMINER		INER	
601 W. FIRST SPOKANE, V	r avenue, suite 1300 va 99201		DUDA, KATHLEEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/L			
. —		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
		09/885,393	HOLSCHER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kathleen Duda	1756				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	July 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 22-31,41,42,44,45 and 47 is/are pen	ding in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>22-31,41,42,44,45 and 47</u> is/are rejective.	cted.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
· ·	on Papers	•					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·				
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disaţ	proved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		ion).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen		ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Intention Com	many (PTO 412) Papar No(a)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (F10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 19				

Application/Control Number: 09/885,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 22-27, 41, 42, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for forming the photoresist layer on the annealed layer, does not reasonably provide enablement for forming the photoresist layer on the layer before annealing. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims previously recited that the photoresist was formed on the annealed antireflective layer. "Annealed" has been removed from the claim which with the use of "comprising" allows for the photoresist to be formed on the layer before annealing.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010

Art Unit: 1756

(Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 22-31, 41, 42, 44, 45 and 47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,274,292. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent recites a range of "at least 800° C", while the application now recites a range of "greater than 400° C" which encompasses the range of the patent.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a

Application/Control Number: 09/885,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner K. Duda at (703) 308-2292. Official after final FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-9311, all other official FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 308-0661.

Kathleen Duda Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756